Bio-XFEL Data Analysis Workshop August 21, 2014, LBNL Bldg 15-253

# How good are my data?

Nicholas K. Sauter Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Software tutorial: <u>http://cci.lbl.gov/xfel</u> Location at pslogin.slac.stanford.edu: /reg/g/cctbx









- L220 lysozyme (in tutorial)
- Gd-lysozyme (in tutorial)
- *Bacillus* Cry3A δ-endotoxin *in vivo* crystals
- Polyhedrin crystals (submitted)

- Photosystem II redox states
- Thermolysin (in tutorial)





## The *cctbx.xfel* workflow:



Software tutorial: <u>http://cci.lbl.gov/xfel</u> *cctbx.xfel* at pslogin.slac.stanford.edu: /reg/g/cctbx This powerpoint: http://cci.lbl.gov/xfel/2014\_workshop



## *Cctbx.xfel* goals for the Bio-XFEL workshop

- Work through L498 thermolysin data (or a subset)
  - First worked example from XTC to final maps
  - Detect anomalous signal?
- Try the Gd-lysozyme data
- Start tonight
  - 2-hour work session insufficient to actually work the data
  - Discover student questions not anticipated
  - Application to students' own data
  - Gd-lysozyme not yet documented on the wiki
- Extended topics
  - LCLS instruments CXI or XPP; SACLA (Aaron Brewster)
  - Space groups with a lattice ambiguity (Wolfgang Brehm)
  - Sparse data (Oliver Zeldin)
  - Migration to psana (Chris O'Grady)

Software tutorial: <u>http://cci.lbl.gov/xfel</u> *cctbx.xfel* at pslogin.slac.stanford.edu: /reg/g/cctbx This powerpoint: http://cci.lbl.gov/xfel/2014\_workshop





## Ensemble distribution of measurements of single Bragg spots

#### Signal to noise and model accuracy are major issues



- Well conforming spot shape models intended to enhance signal to noise
- But are susceptible to positional error



#### Exact relationship of sensor tiles is crucial for modeling reflections



Cornell-SLAC pixel array detector (CS-PAD) at LCLS CXI endstation [Hart et al., 2012, Proc. of SPIE]



Quadrants are on movable rails





Sensors are field-serviceable







## cspad.quadrants tool for automatic detection



- As a function of quadrant position (x & y), autocorrelation of the ring pattern with itself upon 45° rotation.
- Do the same with all 4 quadrants.

Toolbox is now refactored; the user now places the result x,y quadrant displacements directly in the phil file:

```
distl {
   quad_translations=3 -4 -3 -7 -12 0 -7 -5
}
```



#### Detector versioning

The new system brings metrology under user rather than developer control. Default detector geometries are still hard-coded based on date stamp.

> cxi.detector\_format\_versions

Format	version	Det. address	Start time	End time
	CXI 3.2	CxiDs1-0 Cspad-0	Sep 2010	Mar 2011
	CXI 4.1	CxiDs1-0 Cspad-0	Mar 2011	Oct 2011
	CXI 5.1	CxiDs1-0 Cspad-0	Oct 2011	May 2012
	CXI 6.1	CxiDs1-0 Cspad-0	May 2012	Jan 2013
	CXI 7.1	CxiDs1-0 Cspad-0	Jan 2013	Aug 2013
	CXI 7.d	CxiDsd-0 Cspad-0	Jan 2013	Aug 2013
	CXI 8.1	CxiDs1-0 Cspad-0	Aug 2013	Jan 2014
	CXI 8.2	CxiDs1-0 Cspad-0	Jan 2014	Mar 2014
	CXI 8.d	CxiDsd-0 Cspad-0	Aug 2013	Mar 2014
	CXI 9.1	CxiDs2-0 Cspad-0	Mar 2014	Aug 2014
Sacla.MPCCD		Sacla.MPCCD	None	None
	XPP 7.1	XppGon-0 Cspad-0	Jan 2013	Aug 2013
XPP 7	7.marccd	XppGon-0   marccd-0	Jan 2013	Aug 2013
	XPP 8.1	XppGon-0 Cspad-0	Aug 2013	Mar 2014
XPP 8	B.marccd	XppGon-0 marccd-0	Aug 2013	Mar 2014
	XPP 9.1	XppGon-0 Cspad-0	Mar 2014	Aug 2014





#### Downstream detector

2.5 meters from crystal at CXI 1 micron focus





#### We are converging on a data format to capture the hierarchical design

- ImageCIF/ CBF: international standard file format
- Formal language for describing the experiment (and the data)
- Herbert Bernstein Dowling College; critical collaborator
- DIALS software package will handle this standard format
- Will use HDF5 containers



Hierarchical organization: Detector -> Quadrant -> Sensor -> ASIC



Each level:

Relative 3D translation and 3D rotation, as well as readout fast & slow axes

## cspad.metrology tool: whole-pixel and sub-pixel corrections



	Overall RMSD, all Bragg spots	Average Tile Displacement
As-given with manual quad placement distl {quad_translations= }	2.15 pixels	1.35 pixels
Nearest-pixel tile placement distl {tile_translations= }	1.86 pixels	0.40 pixels
Sub-pixel tile translations integration {subpixel_joint_model{translations}}	1.39 pixels	0.23 pixels
Rotations too integration {subpixel_joint_model{rotations}}	0.65 pixels	Near zero

#### Varying the resolution cutoff for each image







## It is difficult to get crystal orientation from a still shot



$$f = \sum_{\substack{\text{spotfinder}\\\text{spots}}} \left( \mathbf{r}_{obs} - \mathbf{r}_{calc} \right)^2 + \left( \Delta \psi \left[ \text{rotx}, \text{roty} \right] \right)^2$$



#### Crystal disorder is a combination of mosaicity and block size



Best-parameter fitting in cctbx.xfel; algorithm defined in phil file: integration.mosaic.refinement\_target=ML



#### Rough mathematical expression for spot partiality





Molecular replacement, 10% sequence identity

## $\Delta \psi$ angle offers a correction for partiality, supported by intensity statistics



Thermolysin data

#### Resolving an indexing ambiguity

• 28% of crystal structures have space groups where the lattice symmetry is higher than the space group symmetry





- Brehm & Diederichs (2014) Acta D70: 101
- Break the ambiguity by pairwise comparison of image-to-image intensity correlation coefficient
- 768 lattices sorted into two piles



*cxi.brehm\_diederichs* tool is documented at http://cci.lbl.gov/xfel/index.php/Resolving\_an\_Indexing\_Ambiguity

## Challenges: Radial streaking





Shot-to-shot measurement of the X-ray incident pulse

## Ewald sphere



#### Ewald sphere paradigm with high/low bandpass limits



## Ewald sphere with mosaicity arclets



#### Full model: bandpass + mosaicity



#### Radial dispersion: modeling each pixel





Hattne J et. al. (2014): Nature Methods 11, 545-548.

## Optimal combination of dispersion + mosaicity to model spots



Decreased  $E_{\text{low}}$ 

Increased  $E_{\text{high}}$ 



## Single and two-color self seeded XFEL for SAD/MAD de novo phasing



Optimized self seeded XFEL for SAD phasing Soichi Wakatsuki, Bill Weis, Axel Brunger (Stanford) Two color self seeded XFEL with DE=95 eV For Yb MAD phasing



## Two-color spectrum causes doubled Bragg spots



#### June 2014 preliminary results

Work in progress:

- Muhamed Amin
- Tara Michels-Clark
- Monarin Uervirojnangkoorn
- Ulf Lundstrom

## So how good are my data?

Sources of systematic error:

- Variable crystal volume hit by beam
- Variable flux
- Detector metrology
- Inability to fully constrain the orientation model of still shots
- Varying crystal quality & internal disorder
- Stochastic SASE spectrum
- Non-isomorphism (Oliver Zeldin)

Measures of success:

- Bright spots r.m.s. displacement lattice model vs. observation
- Intensity statistics
- Wilson B factor
- Rfactors
- Peak height in the anomalous Fourier map

#### Acknowledgements

LBNL Computational Methods Johan Hattne

Aaron Brewster Muhamed Amin Nat Echols Paul Adams Peter Zwart James Holton

Photosystem II Vittal Yachandra Junko Yano Jan Kern

SLAC/PULSE Mike Bogan Ray Sierra

LCLS Spectroscopy Uwe Bergmann SSRL Goniometry Methods Mike Soltis Ana Gonzalez Ashley Deacon Aina Cohen Yingssu Tsai Scott McPhillips

UCLA BT toxin; amyloid David Eisenberg Duilio Cascio Michael Sawaya Jose Rodriguez Luki Goldschmidt

BNL

Sample Delivery Allen Orville Christian Roessler UCLA BT toxin; amyloid David Eisenberg Duilio Cascio Michael Sawaya Jose Rodriguez Luki Goldschmidt

Dowling College Computational Methods Herbert Bernstein

**DIALS Development** Graeme Winter Richard Gildea James Parkhurst Luis Fuentes-Montero

NERSC / ESNet David Skinner Gregory Bell

#### Diamond Light Source Polyhedra crystals

David Stuart Gwyndaf Evans Jonathan Grimes Helen Ginn Daniel Axford

Stanford University Computational Methods

Axel Brunger Mona Uervirojnangkoorn Oliver Zeldin

**Phasing Methods** Soichi Wakatsuki Bill Weis

MPI Heidelberg Data Processing Ilme Schlichting Karol Nass

NIH/NIGMS grants 1R01GM095887 and 1R01GM102520 DOE/Office of Science contract DE-AC02-05CH11231